## 2005 BBC Swiss Teams

Due to congestion in the bridge calendar we moved our August Swiss Teams to September this year, but the congestion followed us. Nonetheless we had a good rollup of 26 teams in the Open and 10 teams in the Restricted, ably directed by David Anderson and Bill Singley. Heat was a serious factor at this time of year and the committee gave serious thought to hiring air-conditioners for the day, but the cost was prohibitive.

I teamed up with Tony Jackman, Peter Hainsworth and Neville Francis, and our only loss was in match 4 to the Croft team. We managed to win the other 6 matches by enough to finish ahead of John Pritchard, Bianca Barden, Darko Janzekovic and Jenny Han, with Dennis Croft, Janeen Solomon, Eva and Tony Berger in $3^{\text {rd }}$ place. The final result was a triumph for the seedings, as teams $1,2 \& 3$ finished in that order.

Pamela Newnham, Ann Williams, Rita Lynch and Teresa Lehane won the Restricted Teams, from Kevin Dean, Geoffrey Roberts, John Correy and Catherine McPaul.

| M 1 | Q7542 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 1 | 95 |  |  |
| Dlr N | J83 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | T82 |  |  |
| K98 | 63 |  |  |
| 72 |  |  | KJT |
| AQ92 |  |  | K654 |
| A976 |  |  | KJ54 |
|  | AJT |  |  |
|  | AQ8643 |  |  |
|  | T7 |  |  |
|  | T82 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | / | / | 1H |
| X | 1NT | 1 | 2 H |
| // |  |  |  |

[^0]

| M 2 | J76 |  |  | Board 11 in match 2 was a nothing board that turned into a complete bonus for us as EW appeared to have a bidding misunderstanding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 11 | K64 |  |  |  |
| DIr S |  |  |  | and missed the good game in hearts. |
| Vul Nil | T98765 |  |  | My 1D was the Precision catch-all bid and West overcalled 1S, over which Tony passed. It appears as if East was asking for more information by 2D, and obviously expected the subsequent 3 H rebid to show at least a 5-card suit. |
| Q9832 |  |  |  |  |
| Q965 |  |  |  |  |
| AT3 |  |  |  |  |
| K | KT5 |  |  | West was not of the same accord, and their 3NT rebid closed the auction, East happy to have described the hand type. |
|  | K87 |  |  |  |
|  | QJ7 |  |  | Since my 1D had not shown diamonds, Tony led his long suit, and West was only able to set up 8 tricks before we got our clubs going so finished 1 off for +50 . |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |  |
|  |  |  | 1D | At the other table Peter and Neville had a normal auction to finish in 4 H for another +420 and 10 Imps . |
| 1S | , | 2D | / |  |
| 2NT | I | 3H | 1 |  |
| 3NT | // |  |  |  |



| M 3 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | QT73 |  |  |
| DIr N | T53 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | QT7543 |  |  |
| AK9732 | J8654 |  |  |
| 5 | 962 |  |  |
| J96 | 842 |  |  |
| AK2 |  |  |  |
|  | QT |  |  |
|  | AKJ84 |  |  |
|  | AKQ7 |  |  |
|  | J8 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2NT |
| 1 | 3C | 1 | 3 H |
| 3S | 4H | // |  |

EW missed a potential slam on board 13 in match 2 when East did not pick up the Acol style delayed game raise.
The West hand has many potential good features and it is difficult to describe them all, but in my view, an immediate 4D splinter bid is no good as it does not show the good club suit.
The delayed game raise, even if partner recognized it, is also no good as it does not show the singleton diamond.
The West hand does not know how high to go, but if the hand is adequately described, any move towards slam can be left to East. After the 2C response, the bid that gets everything across, although a bit on the light side, is 4D, splinter.
Now East may make one try with 4S, but since West has nothing more to say, the bidding should stop in 5 H . In 4 H I led the DT and East did not try hard and only made 11 tricks for -650.
At the other table Peter and Neville did get to slam, but luckily South did not lead a spade, so slam made for +1430 and 13 Imps in.

On board 17 in match 3 West was surprizingly put off by my strong 2NT opening and did not overcall on the first round. Maybe he fancied his chances of defeating 3NT if that became the final contract.
3C by Tony asked for 5-card majors, and his hand improved vastly when I showed hearts rather than spades.
Now West bid his spades, but the moment had passed and EW did not compete over 4 H , which made an easy 11 tricks for +450 .
At the other table Peter and Neville had no trouble bidding 4S and NS decided to take what was on offer in 4SX rather than risk the 5-level, and found the pickings to be very small.
Only 1 off in 4SX gave us a net +350 and 8 Imps.

| M 3 | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 21 | 987632 |  |  |
| Dir N | 32 |  |  |
| Vul NS | QT74 |  |  |
| JT92 | KQ873 |  |  |
| A | KJT5 |  |  |
| KJ87 | AQ64 |  |  |
| KJ95 |  |  | - |
| A54 |  |  |  |
| Q4 |  |  |  |
| T95 |  |  |  |
| A8632 |  |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1 | 1S | 1 |
| 2NT | 1 | 3 C | 1 |
| 4S | , | 4NT | 1 |
| 5D | 1 | 5 S | // |


| M 4 | T5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 3 | 87542 |  |  |
| Dir S | Q864 |  |  |
| Vul EW | T4 |  |  |
| KJ9873 |  | KQT9 |  |
| J63 |  |  |  |
| J73 |  | T95 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 1973 |
|  | AQ642 |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |
|  | AK2 |  |  |
|  | Q865 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1 C |
| 1 | 1 | 2C | 2 S |
| 1 | 1 | 3C | X |
| I | 1 | 1 | 3S |
| // |  |  |  |


| M 4 | K |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 6 | A6 |  |  |
| DIr E | Q9852 |  |  |
| Vul EW | Q9853 |  |  |
| 874 | J95 |  |  |
| QT8732 | KJ94 |  |  |
| KJ6 | 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
|  | AQT632 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | AT74 |  |  |
|  | K7 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  |  | / | 1 S |
| I | 1NT | / | 2 S |
| // |  |  |  |

A void in an outside suit is not a good time to use any of the forms of Blackwood and board 21 in match 3 was a prime example of when it is best to cue-bid.

2NT by West was Jacoby, and West was not excited by the club shortage shown by East so he signed off in 4S. East was not finished, and used Blackwood, though he could only really go to slam if his partner showed 2 missing Aces, so he subsided in 5 S .
After Blackwood I would have doubled 6S if it had been bid, as I could not then place East with a void club.
Peter and Neville have an agreement that West is obliged to cue-bid after the 3C rebid, and thus they were on much stronger ground when after a series of cue-bids they bid the cold slam for an 11 Imp gain.

On board 3 in match 4 we courted disaster in 3 S after Tony misread my double of 3C.
Perhaps I should have passed quietly and hoped Tony came back in with a double, which he may have done since he had both red suits, but I thought that was too big a position to take.
West was extremely happy with the final contract, and did not double in case we had a better spot. According to Deep Finesse, NS can make 7 tricks in every denomination except clubs, and this is what I got, for -100.
At the other table the bidding was more boisterous and finally subsided in 4CX, which only went 2 off, but another -500 was 12 Imps away. This hand was a big factor in our loss on this match.

Board 6 in match 4 was a misfit with possibly 11 opposite 11 and Tony decided that a game try was not warranted.
Playing Precision, Tony's 1NT was forcing, and I nearly upgraded my hand to a 3 S response showing 14-15. In retrospect, I think that this was a better bid, and then we would have reached game. 3NT looks good, but on the obvious heart lead from either defender it has only 8 tricks.
There was nothing to the play in 2 S and after a heart lead I made 11 tricks for +200 .
At the other table NS found their diamond fit, but were scuttled by the club ruff and finished 1 down for +50 and 6 Imps to us.

| M 5 | J543 |  |  | On board 10 in match 5 we bid quickly to game against silent opponents and with everything friendly I made 12 tricks for +680 . The |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | K73 |  |  |  |
| DIr E | A84 |  |  | 2NT response in our system shows a balanced 8-10, so I knew I had |
| Vul All | T64 |  |  | at least a doubleton support. |
| Q7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT2 } \\ & \text { QJ63 } \\ & \text { K87532 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Someone once said that any making slam must be a good one, but |
| AJ65 |  |  |  | this required the HA and CK both on side, which is roughly $25 \%$. |
| KT9752 |  |  |  | Swap the EW hands around and even 4S can go down. |
| 9 | AKT 984 - AQJ |  |  | The West hand seems particularly suited to some sort of overcall after the Precision 1C opening and as I consulted the hand records later, I was surprized that no action was taken at all. Maybe put off by the vulnerability, but both sides were equal. |
| W | N | E | S | At the other table Neville and Peter were not put off by any negative |
|  |  |  | 1 C | thoughts about going down, and finished up in 5DX for an excellent |
| // | 2NT | 1 | 4S | save, gaining 10 Imps for -200 . If the heart finesse had worked, 5D would have made! |


| M 5 | AKJ62 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 15 | KQ4 |  |  |
| DIr S | T752 |  |  |
| Vul NS | 3 |  |  |
| QT75 |  | 94 |  |
| 97 |  | 853 |  |
| J8 |  | AK64 |  |
| QT854 |  | A976 |  |
|  | 83 |  |  |
|  | AJT62 |  |  |
|  | Q93 |  |  |
|  | KJ2 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

On board 15 in match 5 Tony knew that slam was not a good prospect when I opened 1 H and so he gave East no chance to get in to the auction by jumping to 4 H , and all passed.
4 H may make or it may fail, but there is often a bonus in the play by not letting EW exchange information during the bidding and that is what happened on this hand.
Looking at all 4 hands, it is obvious that West can lead a diamond and get a ruff and then the CA is the setting trick. However, with nothing to guide them, West chose the 'safe lead of the H7, and now I was able to make 10 tricks by drawing two rounds of trumps and relying on the spade finesse and a ruff to set them up while I had the trump entry to dummy.
At the other table Neville and Peter got to play the hand in 3C, and although this went 2 off we gained 11 Imps .

| M 6 | AKJ92 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 20 | 875 |  |
| DIr W | Q3 |  |
| Vul All | KQJ |  |
| 73 |  | QT54 |
| Q32 |  | KJ964 |
| A9875 |  | K64 |
| 972 |  | 3 |

On board 20 in match 6 , when I rebid my clubs to show a 6 -card suit, Tony guessed that 3NT must have a play, but was not able to ask me for a stopper in both red suits, so settled for 5C.
Of course 3NT has 9 top tricks and there are 10 tricks on a diamond lead, which is what happened at the other table. Luckily NS at the other table were only in 1NT so the score was only -180 .
Tony received a trump lead and won in hand to cash the top spades and ruff a spade high. Returning to hand with a trump, he ruffed another spade with the CA, drew the last trump and discarded the HT on the good spade and led a diamond.
Since he had the tempo, he was able to win the heart return and establish the DJ while he still had a trump left. If East had led a heart initially, he is still able to set up the spades and discard the heart from dummy. However as soon as he loses the lead to a diamond he is forced to ruff a heart with his last trump and EW make 2 diamonds and a heart.



[^0]:    The old style of precision was to pass a limited opening bid unless you had prospects of game, but many now ignore this rule with any pretence of a good reason. Tony picked a good time to ignore the rule on board 1 in match 1 after West made a take-out double of my 1 H opening.
    His 1NT response kept EW out of the auction, and my 2 H rebid closed it. Note that a responsive double from East, denying spades, could have reaped a huge reward.
    I was able to enter dummy by the SQ to take the heart finesse, so I lost just 6 tricks for 1 off and -50.
    At the other table Peter and Neville balanced themselves back into the auction when North passed the 1H opening, and ended in 3NT from the East seat, making 11 tricks on the friendly lie of the cards. This nothing hand suddenly produced 9 Imps to us out of the blue.

